

### UPDATE: DYNAMIC TESTING ON CLT CONNECTIONS

This White Paper summarizes the on-going testing campaign on CLT Connections under Dynamic loading. It is intended to provide readers with background information, an update on progress and some initial observations on a very exciting research topic. This testing campaign is being carried forward at the University of British Columbia, and is a one of a kind, comprehensive research project that focuses on the behaviour and performance of three of the most commonly utilized panel joints in CLT: Butt, Surface Spline and Half Lap joints.

The preliminary results that are presented here will be the basis for a topic-specific webinar that MyTiCon Timber Connectors will host towards the end of the year.

WOOD you like to CONNECT?



Surface Spline Joint Specimen



Butt Joint Specimen



Half Lap Joint Specimen

*Phase 1* of this project consisted in testing the aforementioned types of CLT panel joints under static, monotonic loading. The results, as summarized by Figure #1, show that connections with self-tapping screws inserted at 45° and therefore, loaded in axially, exhibit a very high initial stiffness and ultimate capacity, but are prone to brittle-type failure mechanisms.

Conversely, connections with screws inserted at 90° and loaded in pure shear, exhibit lower initial stiffness and ultimate capacity, but much higher relative ductility due to fastener yielding.

Finally, connections with screws inserted at both angles, show a nice compromise between high initial stiffness and capacity and exhibit moderate ductility.




Figure #1: Static Test Results

CONTACT US

Sales

1.866.899.4090

sales@my-ti-con.com

Technical Support

1.866.899.4090

info@my-ti-con.com

www.my-ti-con.com



UPDATE: DYNAMIC TESTING ON CLT CONNECTIONS

Phase II of this project consisted in testing the same type of CLT panel joints but now, under cyclic loading. The results, as summarized by Figures #2 to #5 are very promising as adequate levels of relative ductility, initial capacity and stiffness were obtained when compared against the results obtained from the monotonic testing campaign.

Surface Spline joints with screws at 90° (pure shear), as seen in Figure #2, exhibited lower initial stiffness and capacity, yet a very good overall relative ductile behaviour. Conversely, Half Lap Joints with screws at 45° exhibited high initial stiffness, a slight reduction in capacity and brittle behaviour. This is illustrated in Figure #3.




Figure #2: Dynamic Test on Spline Joint




Figure #3: Dynamic Test on Half Lap Joint (Tension screws)

Finally, the tested Butt Joints (Screws at 90°) and Half Lap Joints (with screws at 45° and 90°) both exhibited the most promising performance under cyclic loading. Overall, the Butt joints had very similar ultimate capacity and relative ductility as the static cases, yet experienced a slight reduction in initial stiffness. Furthermore, Half Lap joints with screws in both angle orientations, exhibited very high initial stiffness and relative ductility values, yet experienced a slight reduction in capacity. These results are shown in Figure #4 and #5, respectively.

Initial observations look promising, and full experimental results and analysis will be provided in our webinar scheduled for the end of the year. Stay tuned...




Figure #4: Dynamic Test on Butt Joint




Figure #5: Dynamic Test Results on Half Lap Joint (both 45° and 90° screws)

**UPDATE: DYNAMIC TESTING ON CLT CONNECTIONS**

The information in this document is provided on an “as is” basis and for general information purposes only. While MTC Solutions aims to keep the information provided in this document complete, accurate, and in line with state-of-the-art design methods, MTC Solutions, its affiliates, employees, agents, or licensors do not make any representations or warranties of any kind, including, but not limited to, express or implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose or regarding the content or information in this document, to the full extent permitted by applicable law.

The information in this document does not constitute engineering or other professional advice, and any reliance users place on such information is therefore strictly at their own risk. Images and drawings provided within this document are for reference only and may not apply to all possible conditions. MTC Solutions shall not be liable for any loss or damage of any kind, including indirect, direct, incidental, punitive, or consequential loss or damage arising out of, or in connection with, the information, content, materials referenced, or the use of any of the systems described in this document. Users may derive other applications which are beyond MTC Solutions’ control. The inclusion of the systems or the implied use of this document for other applications is beyond the scope of MTC Solutions’ responsibility.